

1 2	RACHEL KREVANS (CA SBN 116421) (rkrevans@mofo.com) RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)		
3	(rhung@mofo.com) DANIEL P. MUINO (CA SBN 209624)		
4	(dmuino@mofo.com) JIAN BIN (BEN) GAO (CA SBN 245734)		
5	(jgao@mofo.com) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
6	425 Market Street, 32 nd Fl. San Francisco, California 94105-2482		
7	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522		
8	Attorneys for Defendant YAHOO! INC.		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Case No. C-09-5386 JCS	
15	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF DANIEL P. MUINO IN SUPPORT OF THE	
16	v.	PARTIES' STIPULATED	
17	YAHOO! INC.,	REQUEST FOR AN ORDER ENLARGING TIME PURSUANT	
4.0	174100. 110.,	TO CIV I P $6.1(R)$ AND 6.2	
18	Defendant	TO CIV. L.R. 6-1(B) AND 6-2	
18 19	Defendant		
19		TO CIV. L.R. 6-1(B) AND 6-2 Hon. Joseph C. Spero	
19 20	Defendant		
19 20 21	Defendant		
19 20 21 22 23	Defendant		
19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendant		
119 220 221 222 233 244 225	Defendant		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Defendant		
119 220 221 222 233 244 225	Defendant		

DECLARATION OF DANIEL P. MUINO IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN ORDER ENLARGING TIME PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 6-1(B) AND 6-2 sf-2912147

I, Daniel P. Muino, do hereby declare as follows:
 I am an attorney at the law firm Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market Street, San

2. I submit this declaration based on personal knowledge in support of the parties' Stipulated Request for Order Enlarging Time Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 6-1(b) and 6-2.

Francisco, California 94105, counsel of record in this action for defendant Yahoo! Inc.

- 3. On Friday, October 22, 2010, counsel for Augme Technologies, Inc. ("Augme"), David R. Shaub emailed me and my colleagues about extending, by one week, the deadline for the parties' exchange of Preliminary Claim Construction and Extrinsic Evidence pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-2, currently set on October 25, 2010. (*See* Docket No. 64.) The parties discussed the requested extension, and the corresponding necessity to extend the due date of the parties' subsequent Joint Claim Construction and Pre-hearing Statement, in several follow-up emails on Friday and the following Monday, October 25, 2010.
- 4. The parties agreed during those communications that an extension for the parties' exchange of Preliminary Claim Construction and Extrinsic Evidence is desirable to allow the parties sufficient time to carefully draft proposed claim constructions and to gather evidence in support of the proposed constructions. The parties also agreed that a corresponding extension for the filing of their Joint Claim Construction and Pre-hearing Statement is desirable to both allow the parties sufficient time to confer and narrow the differences in their claim construction positions and to avoid the Thanksgiving Holiday.
- 5. Hence, the parties agreed to extend the deadline for the exchange of their Preliminary Claim Construction and Extrinsic Evidence by one week, from October 25, 2010 to November 1, 2010, and to extend the deadline for the filing of their Joint Claim Construction and Pre-hearing Statement by three weeks, from November 12, 2010 to December 3, 2010. These two extensions will not affect any other deadline in this action.
- 6. Regarding previous time modifications in this case: the parties have previously agreed to extend defendant's time to answer the complaint and the first amended complaint, as the defendant was preparing a FRCP 12 motion against each. (*See* Docket Nos. 9, 10, 27 and
- 29.) The parties also stipulated to extend the date of an initial Case Management DECLARATION OF DANIEL P. MUINO IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN ORDER ENLARGING TIME PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 6-1(B) AND 6-2

1	Conference as they were conferring regarding the sufficiency of plaintiff's First Amended
2	Complaint. (See Docket No. 26). In addition, the parties extended the briefing schedule
3	and hearing date of defendant's Motion for a More Definite Statement, filed in response to
4	plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, in order to enable plaintiff to file a Second Amended
5	Complaint instead of an opposition. (See Docket No. 33.) Finally, the parties had
6	previously extended the date for exchanging their Preliminary Claim Construction and
7	Extrinsic Evidence by one week. (See Docket No. 64.)
8	
9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
10	foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 25, 2010 in San Francisco, California.
11	/a/ D.m.i.l.D. Main a
12	/s/ <i>Daniel P. Muino</i> Daniel P. Muino
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28